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Paul's First Letter to the Thessalonians is of extreme importance in biblical scholarship. It is considered one of the oldest texts, if not the oldest, in the New Testament. The authenticity of 1 Thessalonians is pretty well accepted in academic circles. It is obviously a very early text since the organization of the church described is rudimentary (Morris 16). In the first century A.D. Thessalonia was the capital of Macedonia, and its largest city (Morris 16). It was a very important city. 1 Thessalonians shows beyond any doubt that Paul was extremely worried about the Thessalonians and also that he had not left them long before (Tarazi 18). Many scholars agree that this letter was probably composed in Corinth where Paul stayed eighteen months after visiting the church of Thessalonia.

The letter is not much different from other authentic Pauline letters. The basic structure of an opening introductory formula: What Paul wants to tell the particular church, concerns and praises for their faith and understanding, and a final exhortation. What this paper attempts to do is give the New Testament scholar, who is versed in the languages of Greek and Latin, a comparative study of each of the texts. I believe that such comparisons are essential in understanding why there are so many different versions of the Bible. What follows is a verse by verse critical analysis of the Saint Paul's Letter to the Thessalonians.

## Chapter 1

- Verse 1 is the salutation that Paul uses to start his letter to the Thessalonians. In Verses 1 and 2 there is a discrepancy between the Latin Vulgate and the Nestle-Aland Latin versions of this letter. The Nestle-Aland text only has one doxology to greet the Thessalonians, in Deo Patre et Domino lesu Christo. But, the Jerome Latin Vulgate text repeats this doxology in verse 2 . The reason that this occurs could be due to the fact that verse numbers were not introduced until the $16^{\text {th }}$ century with the Stephanus edition. In verse 2, the Latin has, Gratias agimus Deo semper pro omnibus vobis. The Greek does not use this construction and thus does not have the idea of "always ought to" as the Latin
 $\dot{u} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, which means, "We always give thanks to God for you."
- Verse 3 has quite a good translation. In Verse 3, the Latin has the word fidei for faith. Greek has the word $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ which is the word you expect to see in Greek. The Latin text matches that word with fidei. Also in verse 3 is a good match for the word $\alpha \gamma \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \eta$, the word for love. It is matched with caritas, the Greek counterpart for love in a "charity" sense of the word. The "charity" senise goes perfectly with the Latin sense of the word for love as well because Paul is trying to tell the Thessalonians that charity is love and vice-versa.
- Verse 4 is an excellent translation in Latin from the Greek text.
- Verse 5 has a minor difference between the Latin and Greek text. The Greek uses the words oủk $\in \gamma \in v \eta \eta \in \eta$ to mean "did not come, become, be" whereas the Latin has to use the words non fuit to get the same point across. This is a very common alteration that the Latin translator must use in cases like these because Latin does not have a simple way to say "did not come, become, be" without using a form of the verb "to be." In this verse there is also the first instance of a very important difference between the Latin and Greek text in this verse. Greek always uses one word to signify "word." Latin has many different words for "word." Latin can use sermo, verbum, and other words to mean what one Greek word means. In this case, the Latin translator chose sermo. However, sermo does not have the same power that the Greek $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ does. $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \omega$ has a much more theological meaning. It means "word" as in the case of "the Word of God" or "In the beginning was the Word and the Word was from God." Also in verse 5, one can make a very interesting comparison between the Latin and Greek text. In verse 5, the Latin is written sed et in
 What this essentially means in both languages is "and/also in virtue and in the Holy Spirit." However, as is often the case, the Greek uses a much stronger word than virtute. Greek uses the word $\delta u \nu \alpha ́ \mu c i ́$ which means "power." The word for "power" here means spiritual power as in a miracle. The Latin word virtute does not mean this at all. It just means "virtue." There are other differences in this verse as well. In the Latin, the words for "Holy

Spirit" are capitalized. In the Greek, they are not. However, if one thinks about the time period in which the Latin translation took place, it is easy to identify why the phrase is capitalized. It is capitalized because this is a time when the Church was beginning to have an understanding of the concept of Trinity. The translator wants the reader to know that the Holy Spirit is the third person of God. The time period when the Greek New Testament was composed had no understanding of the persons of the Trinity. Differences are evident in yet one more example. The word for "gospe" is Evangelium. Whereas the word in Greek is $\epsilon \dot{u} \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda$ loì. Notice again that the Latin capitalizes the word and Greek does not.

- Verse 6 has a few instances that should be discussed as well. In verse 6 we have another instance of $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \eta \tau \epsilon$ and facti estis. There is also another instance of what I call the "word" problem, or the problem with the word "word." In verse 6 the Latin switches and uses verbum instead of sermo while the Greek again uses $\lambda$ óvov. The question the scholar must ask is, "Why not use sermo?" It never amazes the scholar, though, how Latin and Greek can be harmonious. For example, in verse 6 there is the phrase, $\chi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$
 focused on here are $\chi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ and guadio. They both mean "joy" in the same way.
- In Verse 7, we again have another instance of $\gamma \in \nu^{\prime} \in \sigma \theta \alpha\llcorner$ and facti sitis. This is significant

 sitis forma omnibus credentibus in Macedonia et in Achaia. As one can see, Latin uses an "ut" result clause whereas Greek just uses an infinitive with the word $\gamma \in \mathcal{\nu} \in \sigma \theta \alpha l$. Another stark similarity between the Latin and Greek occurs in this verse as well. Greek uses the term túnov while Latin uses forma. They both translate as "form" or "model." Therefore, it is an excellent translation from the Greek to the Latin.
- Verse 8 has another instance of the "word" problem. While the Greek still keeps with $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \varsigma$, the Latin uses sermo. There is a stark difference between the word ${ }^{\prime} \xi \in \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \cup \theta \in \mathcal{V}$ in Greek and the words diffamatus est in Latin. The Latin uses a form of the verb "to be"
while the Greek uses a perfect passive word construction. Again, there is an example of the Greek needing less to get a point across and the Latin needing more to approximate the Greek meaning. In both translations it means "sounds forth" or "resounds." A very
 $\lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau L$. This means "so that we have no need to say anything." However, the Latin has ita ut non sit nobis necesse quidquam loqui. This means essentially the same thing as the Greek. The difference comes from the word construction comparison of both. Greek uses $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \in$ plus the infinitives ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \chi \in L \nu$ and $\lambda \alpha \lambda \in \in \hat{\nu}$. Latin uses ita ut non sit which is a subjunctive result clause. Again we see in the previous example that it takes more to say something in Latin than it does in Greek.
- Verse 9 is a good Latin attempt to mimic what the Greek is trying to say in an inventive way. Latin has qualem introitum habuerimus ad vos which is an indirect question. This explains why habuerimus is a perfect subjunctive. The Greek uses ómoí $\alpha \nu$ €’бoóov
 you." This is an example of is excellent Latin grammar.
- Verse 10 allows excellent translation from Greek into Latin. In other words, the Latin and Greek are comparatively similar.


## Chapter 2

- Verse 1 is comparatively similar in both Latin and Greek.
- Verse 2 has a very good match between the Greek and the Latin. This comes from the Latin words ante passi and the Greek word $\pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \in \varsigma$. They both mean "to suffer before." There is another match of words in the Latin fiduciam habuimus which translates as "we had courage." The Greek has $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \theta \alpha$ which translates as to "speak freely" or "we have the courage to." The Latin word sollicitudine is not a good choice to be the counterpart to the Greek $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$. The reason that it is not a good choice is because sollicitudine means "solicitude" and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} L$ means just what it looks like, "agony." A better word for the Latin translation would have been tribulatione, which means tribulation. The meaning of tribulation is much more applicable to agony than "solicitude."
- Verse 3 is a close translation between the Latin and the Greek.
- In Verse 4 the Latin translator uses a subjunctive result clause whereas Greek uses an infinitive. The text in Latin is ut crederetur nobis evangelium. In Greek it is written
 thing. They mean "to be entrusted we proclaimed to you the gospel." This is yet another example that it takes a different word construction in Latin to say what the Greek says and means. There is also a difference between the Latin qui probat corda nostra and the Greek $\delta о к ц \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta 0 \nu \tau \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha \varsigma \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$. The difference is that the Latin uses a "qui" clause and the Greek uses a present participle, $\delta о к ц \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta о \nu \tau t$.
- Verse 5 discusses a very important item. This is one of the only times that the word $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \omega$ has the connotation of just the regular meaning of "word." There is nothing theological about its usage in this verse. Latin uses the term sermon to be the counterpart of $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \omega$. Therefore, the translator made a good choice in this case. All in all, verse 5 is very similar in both translations. We also have another example of Greek using the verb "to come," ${ }^{\epsilon} \Varangle \epsilon \in \nu^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$ " while the Latin still continues to use a form of the verb "to be, fuimus.
- Verse 6 has excellent similarity between both the Latin and the Greek.
- Verse 7 has one of the most striking counterpart relationships in the entire letter, the comparison of the word $v \eta$ गेтlol in Greek and parvuli in Latin. That is a very good comparison and Latin should use the word parvuli is the Greek uses $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi$ Lol. However, there are some manuscripts that allude to the possibility that originally the Greek might have used used $\eta \pi+\circ$. If this is the case then the better word in Latin would be lenes. The essential meaning of these words is "infant" and lf you use $\eta$ $\eta$ Tlol and lenes then you add a special meaning of "gentle" or gentleness." Nevertheless, in the first part of 8, the Greek and the Latin are different. The Latin is not particularly a decent translation of the Greek.
- Verse 8 is well matched except for a couple of items. The Latin puts in the word cupide volebamus which is the transitive tense of the verb. Greek does not use anything like this
with $\dot{\delta} \mu \in\llcorner\rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \mathrm{~L}$. As a matter of fact, tradere is not a good counterpart to the word $\mu \in \tau \alpha \delta o u ̂ \nu \alpha l$. The reason is that $\mu \in \tau \alpha \delta o u ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ m e a n s ~ q u i t e ~ l i t e r a l l y ~ " t o ~ s h a r e . " ~ T r a d e r e ~ h a s ~$ other connotations besides "share." It can mean "to trade, hand over," as well.
- Verse 9 exhibits a startling similarity and difference between the Latin and the Greek. One of them is the fact that in Latin laboris is treated as a participle in chapter 1 verse 3. However, in this verse there is the word memores which is a $3^{\text {rd }}$ declension adjective. It should be a genitive construction. In this case, though, Latin has memores estis which means "you are mindful." Also, memores estis has a direct object in the word laboris. This is a startling example of the Latin matching the Greek. What is odd, though, is that Latin does not have this type of grammar construction. In fact, in this case, Latin models the Greek not only in structure, but in grammar as well. The Greek counterpart to this is $\mu \nu \eta \mu 0 \nu \in \cup \in \in \in$ which translates "remember, keep in mind."
- Verse 10 has a few things that need to be discussed. First of all, the Latin has the phrase Vos testes estis et Deus. This means "You are witnesses (and so is God)." However, Greek has $\dot{u} \mu \in i ̄ \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau u \rho \in \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i$ ó $\theta \in o ́ \varsigma$. This means the same thing, but, Greek does not have to use a form of the verb "to be." Also, sine querela is not a good match for $\alpha^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \omega c$. Sine querela means "without complaint" and ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ means "blamelessly." That seems like a very minute thing, but, one is a prepositional phrase and the other is an adverb.
- Verse 11 and 12 are very good transiations from the Greek text to the Latin text.
- Verse 13 had a few differences in the languages. The Greek has the word $\dot{\alpha} \delta L \alpha \lambda \in i \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ while the Latin has sine intermissione. The Greek means "continually" but the Latin translates as "without ceasing or without intermission." It is not a big difference but one worth noting. Alsò, the Latin has this phrase, verbum auditus Del, which is intended to be
 "the word of the hearing of God."
- Verse 14 has a good translation form the Greek to the Latin.
- Verse 15 has a genitive participle, $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, to begin the Greek whereas Latin has occiderunt, a past tense verb meaning "the ones who killed." There is also a peculiarity between the versions. Where Latin has et as its second word in this verse, Greek has the word $\kappa \alpha L$. There is also a discrepancy between the words $\mu \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in Greek and non placent in Latin. Apeokóvt $\omega \nu$ is a participle and placent is not.
- Verse 16 has a major difference between the texts. This is the first time we see a word being left out in the Greek and inserted in the Latin. Actually, if one thinks about it, one will realize that what probably happened is the Latin added the word for more emphasis on the context of meaning. The word is Dei. Which is the word for "God." The Latin has this word but the Greek does not.
- Verse 17 is a good translation from the Greek to the Latin. It is worth noting, however, that it is possible to keep desolati which is a perfect participle and its Greek counterpart $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \phi \alpha \nu 1 \sigma \theta \in \mathcal{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \zeta$ which is an aorist participle. Since Latin does not have an aorist construction, it must use the perfect construction to get the same meaning across to the reader. Ad tempus horae is an absolute match for the Greek tןò $\kappa$ к $\alpha$ L $\rho$ ò $\nu \ddot{\omega} \rho \alpha \varsigma$. This Greek phrase is an idiomatic phrase. What Latin did was copy the idiom and its meaning word for word. Another important fact to note is that the Jerusalem Bible has the translation for these phrases as "a short time after." This, however, is not the best translation for these phrases. The Greek to English translation should be "for a moment/period in time." There is, then, an extreme amount of interpretation going on in this phrase in the English Jerusalem version.
- Verse 18 is a very good translation from the Greek into the Latin. The Latin keeps the meaning, word order, and even the wording itself very close to the Greek text.
- Verse 19 has in Latin ante Dominum nostrum lesum whereas Greek has $\neq \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ tỗ кupíou $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ 'Inoou. What the Greek text means is "before the presence of our Lord Jesus," The Latin translates it as "before our Lord Jesus." The Latin cannot come close to the meaning of the word ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu$ because Latin does not have one single word that means "before the presence of."
- Verse 20 is a very good translation from the Greek into the Latin.


## Chapter 3

- Verse 1 has another example of Latin using a result clause (ut clause) to say the same thing that Greek does with an aorist passive infinitive. Latin has ut relinqueremur Athenis

- Verse 2 has a few distinctions that are important. Latin has the word cooperatorem which means "colleague." Greek uses the word $\sigma u v \in \rho \gamma o ̀ v$ which means more than what the Latin has. It means "fellow worker" as in "for the faith." Greek also has the word $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \alpha\llcorner$ which means "to strengthen." This construction in Greek is called "the articular infinitive to express purpose." Latin uses confirmandos and exhortandos. Both of these are gerunds. However, where Greek has $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \xi \alpha \iota$, Latin should use a form of a word such as fortis, which means "strengthen." The translation, however, uses the word confirmandos which means "to confirm."
- Verse 3 is a good translation from Greek into Latin.
- Verse 4 has another example of what occurred in verse 4 of chapter 2. Latin has the word tribulationibus. This word means "tribulations." However, the Greek text has the word $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ which is a passive infinitive that means "to be persecuted." Latin, again, downplays the persecution aspect of this phrase. Greek has the word ' $\mathcal{\gamma} \notin \mathcal{V} \in \tau 0$ and Latin has the word sumus which is a form of the verb "to be." This should be compared to Chapter 1, Verse 6.
- Verse 5 has the Latin words qui tentat. The Greek has $\pi \epsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \zeta \omega \nu$. The difference in these two words lies in the fact that the Latin uses a relative pronoun and the Greek uses a participle.
- Verse 6 has a good translation from Greek to Latin. Greek has a genitive absolute construction whereas Latin has an ablative absolute construction. These two are very comparable to each other.
- In Verse 7 the Greek uses the word $\pi \alpha \rho \in \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$. This word is associated with the word "paraclete." It means "we have been consoled." It is in the aorist passive construction.

Latin uses regular present perfect passive construction in the comparable phrase of consolati sumus. What is peculiar about this Latin phrase is that it can be read as a present perfect passive or as a present verb with an adjective. However, in this phrase it is the present perfect passive.

- Verse 8 is another example of capitalization differences related to the perception of the Trinity. The Greek has the word кupí w without an article. However, Latin has Domino.
- Verse 9 has the word $\epsilon \cup ̛ \chi \alpha \rho\llcorner\sigma \tau i ́ \alpha \nu$ which eventually develops into Latin as the term meaning "eucharist." However, at this juncture that has not happened yet. And, also, there is a tangled grammar which seems to appear in both the Greek and the Latin.
- Verse 10 has the word abundantius in Latin:which is not a strong enough word for the Greek word U̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma 0 \cup$. This Greek word means "exceedingly" which implies a further meaning of "excess" in general. The Latin word for this means abundance which does not have quite the strength that the Greek has. The rest of the verse is a very good translation from Greek into Latin. There is another example of what does occur in verse 4 as well. That is the phrase $\epsilon \mathfrak{l} \varsigma$ tò $\mathfrak{i} \delta \in \imath ̂ \nu$ whereas Latin uses ut videamus.
- Verse 11 has the word $\kappa \alpha \tau \in \cup \theta u ́ v \alpha l$ which is the third singular aorist optative form of the verb and means "to straighten out." It has the further connotation of "so as to prosper in journey." The Latin has the subjunctive form shown in the word dirigat. This word means "to direct," and is not as potent as the Greek text.
- Verse 12 has the aorist optative word $\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \in u ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$ meaning "to increase." However, it has the further connotation of "have more than enough.". The Latin has the word superabundare. This is quite a nice counterpart that the Latin translator has chosen for the Greek.
- Verse 13 has $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \alpha \downarrow$ again. The same problem occurs here as in verse 2.


## Chapter 4

- Verse has the phrase De cetero ergo. This phrase is an excellent match for the Greek Lolmòv oûv. They both translate as "In addition." As a vocabulary note, the Greek word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ means "encourage." However, the Latin counterpart to this word is
obsecramus. This word means "exhort." In this case, the Latin uses a stronger word than the Greek. Also, the Latin text uses the word oporteat where the Greek text uses the word $\delta \in l$. The Latin means "it is right, it is fitting." The Greek means "it is necessary." It is a small difference but one worth noting.
- Verse 2 is a very good translation from the Greek to the Latin. Greek has the word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i \alpha \varsigma$ where the Latin has the word praecepta. These two words are very similar to each other in meaning. They both mean "instruction" in regard to precepts.
- Verse 3 is a very good translation from the Greek to the Latin. However, Verses 3 and Verse 4 have some differences worth noting. The Greek text has the present perfect infinitive word ei $\delta$ '́ $v$ 人L (to know how to) where the Latin has nothing of the sort. Latin doesn't even have a word for it. There is also a peculiarity in the positioning of Verse 3 and Verse 4 fall in each of the languages. It seems that Greek puts this phrase at the end
 absteneatis a fornicatione at the beginning of Verse 4 . This peculiarity could be due to many things, but It could be explained simply by the fact that the Latin translator wasn't very careful in his translating. All in all, Verse 4 is a very good translation from Greek to Latin.
- Verse 5 is an excellent translation from Greek to Latin.
- Verse 6 has an anomaly that is worth noting. Greek has the phrase $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon p \beta \alpha \dot{L} \nu \in L \nu \kappa \alpha i$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ which mean, in the order that it appears in the text, "transgress/exploit and take advantage of." However, the Latin text has written, in this order, supergrediatur neque circumveniat. These words mean "take advantage of and exploit." The reader can easily tell that the Latin and Greek have the words in totally different order. There is also another case of no article for the word кúploç. It also is not capitalized as its Latin counterpart Dominus was in Chapter 3, Verse 8.
- Verse 7 is an exemplary translation from the Greek to the Latin. However, the Greek is a little more straight forward than the Latin.
- Verse 8 is quite a good translation except for a few minor items. In this case, I would have to argue that Latin has a stronger word in sperno than Greek does in $\dot{\alpha} \theta \in \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$. Sperno means "to spurn." $A \theta \in \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ means "disregard." This̀ is clearly not as strong a word as "spurn." Also, "Holy Spirit" is not capitalized in Greek as it is in Latin. Refer to Chapter 1, Verse 5.
- Verse 9 has an excellent similarity between the two languages. The Greek has the phrase oủ $\chi \rho \in i ́ \alpha \nu$ " $\chi \chi \in \tau \in \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \notin ⿺ \nu$ ú $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ whereas the Latin has non necesse habetis ut vobis scribam. These two phrases mean "you have no need of anyone to write to you." Latin essentially copied this Greek idiom. However, there is peculiarity in this verse. Latin has the word caritas. Of course, this means "love." However, Greek has the word $\phi L \lambda \alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi i \alpha c$ which means "brotherly" as in "brotherly love." Usually caritas is a counterpart for the Greek word $\alpha \gamma \alpha \alpha_{\pi} \epsilon$. But, Greek used the word $\phi \backslash \lambda \alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi i \alpha \varsigma$. So the question the scholar must ask is, "Why does Latin use the word caritas to translate this word?" The answer remains a mystery.
- Chapter 10 is a superb translation from Greek to Latin.
- Chapter 11 has a minor difference but one definitely worth noting. Greek has the word $\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \epsilon \hat{\tau} \sigma \theta \alpha l$ which means "make a point of." However, Latin has the words operam detis which translate "we gave you instructions." There is a stark difference between these two counterparts. However, as we have seen before Greek often uses more explanatory phrases than Latin does. Latin cannot always find an exact counterpart to the Greek text.
- Verse 12 is a good translation from Greek to Latin except for one minor item. Greek uses the word ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega$. This simple word has a Greek idiom within it. It means "outsiders," but in this case it means "those outside the church of Thessalonia." It also has still another meaning. It can mean "those outside the church totally," i.e. pagan worshippers. Latin is trying to do the same thing but falls short. Latin uses ad eos qui foris sunt which essentially means "those who are outside." Latin is trying to use an idiom, but it doesn't quite have the same technical meaning as the Greek text.
- Verse 13 is a good translation from Greek to Latin. There is a very important similarity that affects the way we speak even today. Greek has the phrase $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\ell} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa 0<\mu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ which means "those who have fallen asleep." Latin uses the same kind of phrase in de dormientibus. This also means "those who have fallen asleep." This phrase is referring to the people who are already dead. This is the point in the letter where Paul explains to the people what will happen at the "Second Coming." They are concerned about the people who are dead and whether they will be raised up as well as those who living. When one reads this section of the letter, he must keep in mind that at this time Paul and his followers thought the "Second Coming" would be very soon. We use the phrase "fallen asleep" instead of saying "dead." We say "fallen asleep in Christ" or something along those lines. It is a very interesting proposition that we might have gotten our phrase directly form the Greek and Latin euphemism.
- Verse 14 is an excellent translation from the Greek to the Latin.
- Verse 15 has another instance of the "word" problem as mentioned in Chapter 1, Verse 5. However, at this point, the scholar has to notice that the word used in Latin is verbo. There is enough evidence in this letter alone to make the assumption that by at least 300 A.D. verbum is recognized as the usual counterpart to the theologically loaded Greek word $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$. And I have noticed that kupiou, the word for "Lord" in Greek, has permanently dropped its article. It is safe to assume that, by this time, it is a common phrase in Greek. Also, the Latin word relinquimir is an excellent counterpart to the Greek $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\lambda \in L \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \mathcal{L}$. They both have the meaning of "left behind."
- Verse 16 has the Greek word $\kappa \in \lambda \in$ ú $\sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ which translates "summons." Latin has the word iussu which clearly means "judgement." In this case, Latin translates the Greek word into a much more powerful word.
- Verse 17 is a good translation from the Greek to the Latin.
- Verse 18 has another instance of the "word" problem as in Verse 15 above.
- Verse 1 has Greek using the construction of $\notin \chi \in \tau \in \dot{i} \mu \mu \imath \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \sigma \theta \alpha L$. Latin uses the phrase ut scribatur vobis. They both essentially mean "to be written to you." However, Latin needs to use an "ut" clause where Greek uses an articular infinitive. But, the Latin is a much clearer phrase compared to the Greek.
- Verse 2 is a good translation from Greek to Latin. Nonetheless, there are some differences between the translations. Greek uses the word $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ which means "accurately." Latin uses the word diligenter which means "diligently." As one can see, these words do not quite have the same meaning. In fact, the Latin, though it tries to get the point across, does not even come close to what the Greek intended. There is also another case of the word кupiou as in Chapter 4, Verses 4 and 15. Another item is the fact that Greek does not use an article in the phrase it $\boldsymbol{i}$ е́f $\rho \alpha$ кupíou.
- Verses 3 has the word interitus which means "death." However, the Greek text has the word ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \in \Theta \rho o \varsigma$ which translates "destruction." The Greek word is much more potent than the Latin word.
- In Verse 4 Latin uses the word tenebris which translates "shadows." The Greek text has as its counterpart $\sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon L$ which means "darkness." Again, we see that Latin uses an abstract word for what Greek uses as a well-defined word. Also, in the Greek text there is no article for the word $\eta \mu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$, but, the Latin text has the word ille which acts as an article even though Latin has no concept of articles.
- Verse 5 is an exemplary translation from Greek to Latin.
- Verse 6 is a good translation as well. However, it is worth noting that the Latin word igitur meaning "consequently" is a very good match for its Greek counterpart $\alpha \rho \alpha$ meaning "consequently."
- Verse 7 is another excellent translation from Greek to Latin. It is actually word for word which is, as we have seen, rare in this type of critical analysis.
- Verse 8 has a difference in the text. The Greek aorist participle év $\mathcal{\delta} u \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \mathcal{V}$ ol means "to put on." The Latin counterpart is induti which translates as "wear." This is not an exact
match for the Greek term, but it is actually not a bad choice. Also, caritas and ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \pi \eta \zeta$ match in meaning. And the words for "salvation" in Latin and Greek are very similar. However, the word in Latin is salutis which can sometimes mean "health."
- Verse 9 has a very minute difference in the two languages, but one worth noting. The Greek text has the words oúk " $\theta \in \tau 0$ which translate "did not arrange." The Latin uses the words non posuit which translate "did not place." This is a minor difference but one that shows the depth of the Greek text as a opposed to the Latin text. There is also another instance of the kupíou word as in Chapter 4, Verses 4 and 15 and this time it has the article because it is a more formal usage.
- Verse 10 has an interesting similarity between the Greek and Latin texts. Greek uses the correlative conjunction $\in l \tau \in \ldots$. $\epsilon l \tau \in$ which translates "either...or". Latin uses sive...sive which means "whether...or." These are not exact matches but clearly close in meaning.
- Verse 11 is an excellent translation from Greek to Latin.
- Verse 12 has another instance of the кupí $\omega$ problem as in Chapter 4, Verses 4 and 15. It also begins with a verb.
- Verse 13 has some stark differences between the Greek and Latin. Greek uses a simple imperative verb ElpqveÚte which is a command from Paul meaning "Live at peace." Latin uses a form of the verb "to have" to get the same point across. It uses the phrase Pacem habete which translates as "Have peace." This is not quite the same thing as the Greek, but as close to the meaning as Latin allowed.
- Verse 14 is a good translation from Greek to Latin. However, the sentence begins with a verb as in Verse 12.
- Verse 15 has a small difference in language. The Greek uses the word $\delta \mathrm{l} \omega \kappa \kappa \tau \in$ which translates "continue to pursue." Latin uses the word sectamini which means "seek." Granted, this is not a big difference but it is one worth noting. Another observation is that the root sec in sectamini is a cousin to the word sequor which means "to follow."
- Verses 16,17 , and 18 are superb translations from the Greek to the Latin.
- Verse 19 has an instance of the Greek using the uncapitalized $\pi \nu \in \cup \tilde{\mu} \alpha$ whereas Latin uses the word Spiritum. The explanation for this can be seen in Chapter 1, Verse 5.
- Verse 20 finds the word $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi o v \theta \epsilon \nu \in i \tau \in$ which means "despise." Latin has the word spernere as in Chapter 4, Verse 8. However this time Greek has a different word for "spurn." But it still falls short of the Latin meaning. What should be said, rather, is that the Latin is still a much stronger word than the Greek in this case and in the case of Chapter 4, Verse 8.
- Verse 21 contains a comparison worth noting. The Greek text has the word $\kappa \alpha \tau \in ́ \chi \in \tau \epsilon$ which means "hold fast." The Latin has the word tenete which simply means "keep or retain." As one can see, this is not as strong of a word as the Greek.
- Verse 22 contains, in the Greek text, the word $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \notin \in \sigma \in$ which means "keep away or avoid." The Latin has a stronger word. Latin has abstinete which English gets the word "abstain" from. This is obviously a stronger word than $\dot{\alpha} \pi \in \chi \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
- Verse 23 has another word difference. The Greek has the word $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ which means "irreproachable." The Latin uses a much more diluted phrase in sine querela which means "without blame." There is also another instance of kupiou as in Chapter 4, Verses 4 and 15. Also, the article is there as in Verse 9.
- Verse 24 offers another problem with wording. The Greek uses the phrase $\pi$ Lotò $\varsigma$
 stating that God is the one who calls. Latin has the phrase fedelis est, qui vocat vos which means essentially the same thing. However, the Greek doesn't need the verb "to be" as Latin does in est. In Greek, the verb "to be" is very frequently just understood to be there by the reader.
- Verses 25 and 26 are very good counterpart translations to each other.
- Verses 27 and 28 have the last instances of кupíou as in Chapter 4, Verses 4 and 15.

In conclusion, there are some important differences between the Greek text and the Latin text of 1 Thessalonians. There are also many similarities. What I hope this study shows is
that Latin translator cannot always find the right word or phrase to match the Greek word or phrase. But, most importantly, Latin sometimes cannot reproduce the essential meaning of what the Greek text stands for. Interpreting the text, the time periods in which each was written must be taken into consideration as well. The Greek text we now have is as close to the original text as we can get. In other words, Greek is the original language of 1 Thessalonians. Latin is a translation from that Greek text. The Latin is a much later attempt. The change in time means that the Greek world did not have some of the concepts as the Latin world would later develop. For example, there are countless references to the Holy Spirit in 1 Thessalonians. However, as mentioned in the analysis, the Greek text does not capitalize "Holy Spirit, (and the phrase often appears without the Greek article)" and the Latin text does. This is due to the fact that when the original Greek was being copied down, the church had no concept of the Trinity and did not see the Holy Spirit as the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person in this Trinity. However, when the Greek was translated into Latin, there was an established concept of the importance of the Trinity and the Holy Spirit being that $3^{\text {rd }}$ person in it.

This study has far exceeded any ideas I had about what would come out of it. It is truly amazing to see how different and alike this text is in the two major languages of Greek and Latin. It makes me wonder when I pick up an English translation of the Bible if I am reading what was intended or an interpretation of what was written. If the Greek to Latin changes are indicative, this is surely the case.
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16 Пג́ขтотє $\chi \alpha і р є \tau \epsilon$,
$17 \dot{\alpha} \delta\llcorner\alpha \lambda \epsilon i \pi \tau \omega \zeta$ тробєú $\chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$,

19 тò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \epsilon ้ \nu \nu \tau \epsilon$,



 Xpıoтoû тпŋๆ $\theta$ єín.



27 'Еขоркí̧


VUL 1 Thessalonians 1:1 Paulus et Silvanus et Timotheus ecclesiae Thessalonicensium in Deo Patre et Domino lesu Christo gratia vobis et pax

2 gratias agimus Deo semper pro omnibus vobis memoriam facientes in orationibus nostris sine intermissione

3 memores operis fidei vestrae et laboris et caritatis et sustinentiae spei Domini nostri lesu
Christi ante Deum et Patrem nostrum
4 scientes fratres dilecti a Deo electionem vestram
5 quia evangelium nostrum non fuit ad vos in sermone tantum sed et in virtute et in Spiritu
Sancto et in plenitudine multa sicut scitis quales fuerimus vobis propter vos
6 et vos imitatores nostri facti estis et Domini excipientes verbum in tribulatione multa cum gaudio Spiritus Sancti

7 ita ut facti sitis forma omnibus credentibus in Macedonia et in Achaia 8 a vobis enim diffamatus est sermo Domini non solum in Macedonia et in Achaia sed in omni loco fides vestra quae est ad Deum profecta est ita ut non sit nobis necesse quicquam loqui 9 ipsi enim de nobis adnuntiant qualem introitum habuerimus ad vos et quomodo conversi estis ad Deum a simulacris servire Deo vivo et vero 10 et expectare Filium eius de caelis quem suscitavit ex mortuis lesum qui eripuit nos ab ira ventura

VUL 1 Thessalonians 2:1 nam ipsi scitis fratres introitum nostrum ad vos quia non inanis fuit 2 sed ante passi et contumeliis affecti sicut scitis in Philippis fiduciam habuimus in Deo nostro loqui ad vos evangelium Dei in multa sollicitudine 3 exhortatio enim nostra non de errore neque de inmunditia neque in dolo 4 sed sicut probati sumus a Deo ut crederetur nobis evangelium ita loquimur non quasi hominibus placentes sed Deo qui probat corda nostra

5 neque enim aliquando fuimus in sermone adulationis sicut scitis neque in occasione avaritiae Deus testis est

6 nec quaerentes ab hominibus gloriam neque a vobis neque ab aliis

7 cum possimus oneri esse ut Christi apostoli sed facti sumus lenes in medio vestrum tamquam si nutrix foveat filios suos

8 ita desiderantes vos cupide volebamus tradere vobis non solum evangelium Dei sed etiam animas nostras quoniam carissimi nobis facti estis

9 memores enim estis fratres laborem nostrum et fatigationem nocte et die operantes ne quem vestrum gravaremus praedicavimus in vobis evangelium Dei

10 vos testes estis et Deus quam sancte et iuste et sine querella vobis qui credidistis fuimus 11 sicut scitis qualiter unumquemque vestrum tamquam pater filios suos

12 deprecantes vos et consolantes testificati sumus ut ambularetis digne Deo qui vocavit vos in suum regnum et gloriam

13 ideo et nos gratias agimus Deo sine intermissione quoniam cum accepissetis a nobis verbum auditus Dei accepistis non ut verbum hominum sed sicut est vere verbum Dei qui operatur in vobis qui credidistis

14 vos enim imitatores facti estis fratres ecclesiarum Dei quae sunt in ludaea in Christo lesu quia eadem passi estis et vos a contribulibus vestris sicut et ipsi a ludaeis 15 qui et Dominum occiderunt lesum et prophetas et nos persecuti sunt et Deo non placent et omnibus hominibus adversantur

16 prohibentes nos gentibus loqui ut salvae fiant ut impleant peccata sua semper praevenit autem ira Dei super illos usque in finem

17 nos autem fratres desolati a vobis ad tempus horae aspectu non corde abundantius festinavimus faciem vestram videre cum multo desiderio

18 quoniam voluimus venire ad vos ego quidem Paulus et semel et iterum et inpedivit nos Satanas

19 quae est enim nostra spes aut gaudium aut corona gloriae nonne vos ante Dominum nostrum lesum estis in adventu eius

20 vos enim estis gloria nostra et gaudium

VUL 1 Thessalonians 3:1 propter quod non sustinentes amplius placuit nobis remanere Athenis solis.

2 et misimus Timotheum fratrem nostrum et ministrum Dei in evangelio Christi ad confirmandos vos et exhortandos pro fide vestra

3 ut nemo moveatur in tribulationibus istis ipsi enim scitis quod in hoc positi sumus 4 nam et cum apud vos essemus praedicebamus vobis passuros nos tribulationes sicut et factum est et scitis

5 propterea et ego amplius non sustinens misi ad cognoscendam fidem vestram ne forte temptaverit vos is qui temptat et inanis fiat labor noster

6 nunc autem veniente Timotheo ad nos a vobis et adnuntiante nobis fidem et caritatem vestram et quia memoriam nostri habetis bonam semper desiderantes nos videre sicut nos quoque vos

7 ideo consolati sumus fratres in vobis in omni necessitate et tribulatione nostra per vestram fidem

8 quoniam nunc vivimus si vos statis in Domino
9 quam enim gratiarum actionem possumus Deo retribuere pro vobis in omni gaudio quo gaudemus propter vos ante Deum nostrum

10 nocte et die abundantius orantes ut videamus faciem vestram et conpleamus ea quae desunt fidei vestrae

11 ipse autem Deus et Pater noster et Dominus lesus dirigat viam nostram ad vos
12 vos autem Dominus multiplicet et abundare faciat caritatem in invicem et in omnes quemadmodum et nos in vobis

13 ad confirmanda corda vestra sine querella in sanctitate ante Deum et Patrem nostrum in adventu Domini nostri lesu cum omnibus sanctis eius amen

VUL 1 Thessalonians 4:1 de cetero ergo fratres rogamus vos et obsecramus in Domino lesu ut quemadmodum accepistis a nobis quomodo vos oporteat ambulare et placere Deo sicut et ambulatis ut abundetis magis

2 scitis enim quae praecepta dederimus vobis per Dominum lesum
3 haec est enim voluntas Dei sanctificatio vestra

4 ut abstineatis vos a fornicatione ut sciat unusquisque vestrum suum vas possidere in sanctificatione et honore

5 non in passione desiderii sicut et gentes quae ignorant Deum
6 ut ne quis supergrediatur neque circumveniat in negotio fratrem suum quoniam vindex est Dominus de his omnibus sicut et praediximus vobis et testificati sumus

7 non enim vocavit nos Deus in inmunditia sed in sanctificatione
8 itaque qui spernit non hominem spernit sed Deum qui etiam dedit Spiritum suum Sanctum in vobis

9 de caritate autem fraternitatis non necesse habemus scribere vobis ipsi enim vos a Deo didicistis ut diligatis invicem

10 etenim facitis illud in omnes fratres in universa Macedonia rogamus autem vos fratres ut abundetis magis

11 et operam detis ut quieti sitis et ut vestrum negotium agatis et operemini manibus vestris sicut praecepimus vobis

12 et ut honeste ambuletis ad eos qui foris sunt et nullius aliquid desideretis
13 nolumus autem vos ignorare fratres de dormientibus ut non contristemini sicut et ceteri qui spem non habent

14 si enim credimus quod lesus mortuus est et resurrexit ita et Deus eos qui dormierunt per lesum adducet cum eo

15 hoc enim vobis dicimus in verbo Domini quia nos qui vivimus qui residui sumus in adventum Domini non praeveniemus eos qui dormierunt

16 quoniam ipse Dominus in iussu et in voce archangeli et in tuba Dei descendet de caelo et mortui qui in Christo sunt resurgent primi

17 deinde nos qui vivimus qui relinquimur simul rapiemur cum illis in nubibus obviam Domino in aera et sic semper cum Domino erimus

18 itaque consolamini invicem in verbis istis

VUL 1 Thessalonians 5:1 de temporibus autem et momentis fratres non indigetis ut scribamus vobis

2 ipsi enim diligenter scitis quia dies Domini sicut fur in nocte ita veniet
3 cum enim dixerint pax et securitas tunc repentinus eis superveniet interitus sicut dolor in utero habenti et non effugient

4 vos autem fratres non estis in tenebris ut vos dies ille tamquam fur conprehendat
5 omnes enim vos filii lucis estis et filii diei non sumus noctis neque tenebrarum
6 igitur non dormiamus sicut ceteri sed vigilemus et sobrii simus
7 qui enim dormiunt nocte dormiunt et qui ebrii sunt nocte ebrii sunt
8 nos autem qui diei sumus sobrii simus induti loricam fidei et caritatis et galeam spem salutis
9 quoniam non posuit nos Deus in iram sed in adquisitionem salutis per Dominum nostrum lesum Christum

10 qui mortuus est pro nobis ut sive vigilemus sive dormiamus simul cum illo vivamus 11 propter quod consolamini invicem et aedificate alterutrum sicut et facitis

12 rogamus autem vos fratres ut noveritis eos qui laborant inter vos et praesunt vobis in
Domino et monent vos
13 ut habeatis illos abundantius in caritate propter opus illorum pacem habete cum eis
14 rogamus autem vos fratres corripite inquietos consolamini pusillianimes suscipite infirmos
patientes estote ad omnes
15 videte ne quis malum pro malo alicui reddat sed semper quod bonum est sectamini et in invicem et in omnes

16 semper gaudete
17 sine intermissione orate
18 in omnibus gratias agite haec enim voluntas Dei est in Christo lesu in omnibus vobis
19 Spiritum nolite extinguere
20 prophetias nolite spernere
21 omnia autem probate quod bonum est tenete
22 ab omni specie mala abstinete vos
23 ipse autem Deus pacis sanctificet vos per omnia et integer spiritus vester et anima et corpus sine querella in adventu Domini nostri lesu Christi servetur

24 fidelis est qui vocavit vos qui etiam faciet

25 fratres orate pro nobis
26 salutate fratres omnes in osculo sancto
27 adiuro vos per Dominum ut legatur epistula omnibus sanctis fratribus
28 gratia Domini nostri lesu Christi vobiscum amen
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